



FORM 0

SITE SELECTION CRITERIA

This scoping exercise presents criteria and considerations to assess whether the target site, its people, and supporting institutions and policies are suitable for the implementation of EbA interventions. The outcome of Stage 0 is a **go** or **no-go** decision regarding the suitability of the site for EbA. To determine this, complete a separate form for each site under consideration and if all criteria in Section I are met (answered “yes” to all questions), then it is a **go** decision and continue on to Section II to provide details on additional considerations for the site’s selection.

Form completed by:

Date form completed:



SITE DETAILS

SITE NAME:

GPS LOCATION:

DISTRICT/PROVINCE/
REGION:

COUNTRY:

1. Are the landscape and its uses capable of delivering sufficient adaptation services as will be detailed in Step 3 in the Scaling Up Mountain EbA Programme Handbook)?

YES NO

If yes, which adaptation services? *Adaptation services are defined as ecosystem services that are useful in terms of climate resilience, for instance freshwater supply, flood control and protection against storm surge.*

2. Are stakeholders aware of the adaptation services delivered by ecosystems and do they value these? Are they concerned about the state of their environment?

YES NO

If YES, provide further information (which adaptation services do they value? How are they concerned?)

3. Are there any social and institutional frameworks that can be strengthened and given responsibility in relation to EbA? *Examples are institutions in charge of development planning, Disaster Risk Reduction (DRR) or water resources and ecosystem management.*

YES NO

If YES, which social groups, institutions or policies and how can they be strengthened?





4. Within this framework (refer back to question 3), is there any *experience* and *willingness* to generate policies and actions to keep the aforementioned ecosystems in good health and able to supply key adaptation services?

Experience YES NO

Willingness YES NO

If any YES, provide further evidence:

If answered **YES** to all four of the questions above, then it is a **go decision** and continue to Part II for further considerations.



SECTION II: Additional information for assessing site suitability for EbA interventions

1. Are ecosystem services provided by the site vulnerable to climate change?

YES NO

If YES, how?

2. Are ecosystem services provided by the site vulnerable to other threats (e.g. extractive activities, demographic changes)?

YES NO

If YES, how?

3. Is human wellbeing in the area highly dependent on ecosystem services and goods?

YES NO

If YES, how?

4. Was EbA ever implemented at this site?

YES NO

If YES, who was involved in that previous implementation?





5. Are there any social, environmental, and economic baseline data available? (e.g. vulnerability assessments or relevant technical studies)

YES NO

If YES, provide details on the existing data or data that is currently being collected.

6. Are there any relevant stakeholders in this site to work with? Provide details on partners, such as line agencies, NGOs, etc.

7. Are local organization and governance conditions good enough to support EbA interventions?

YES NO

Provide details.

Are local conflict levels low enough to allow EbA interventions?

YES NO

Provide details.

8. With respect to human and financial resources of the project, what operational, logistical, travel, and budget considerations apply?

9. Is the site within or nearby a protected area?

YES (within) YES (nearby) NO

If YES, provide further information (name of area, location and key ecosystems or cultural assets protected).





10. For sites within protected areas, is there a good working relationship between the local population and the protected area authority?

YES NO

Not applicable

Provide details

11. What additional aspects specific to this area should be considered to implement EbA?

